



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/080,488	02/22/2002	Henry Esmond Butterworth	GB919990129US1	8490	
7590 08/16/2004 Brian C. Kunzler 10 West 100 South			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			BRAGDON, REGIN	ALD GLENWOOD	
Salt Lake City,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
-			2188		
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	DATE MAILED: 08/16/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/080,488	BUTTERWORTH ET AL.	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Reginald G. Bragdon	2188	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	-
THE REPLY FILED 19 July 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of A Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of this a er; (1) a timely filed amendmen ppeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a 4.	application. A proper reply to a twhich places the application in a timely filed Request for Continued	
PERIOD FOR	R REPLY [check either a) or b)]	•	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mail b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY V 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of 637 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the short (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set fortiter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing of WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS of the date on which the petition under 37 Clextension and the corresponding amount tened statutory period for reply originally	date of the final rejection. F THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP FR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 July 2004. A 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37	CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismis		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	ed because:		
(a) $oxed{\boxtimes}$ they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or sea	rch (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
(c)	ion in better form for appeal by	materially reducing or simplifying the)
(d) they present additional claims without cal	nceling a corresponding numbe	er of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following re	ejection(s): 112, first paragraph	n, rejection of claim 11.	
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ould be allowable if submitted i	n a separate, timely filed amendment	
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because		considered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed SOL	ELY to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendn explanation of how the new or amended claim			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: 1-22			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8.☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐	approved or b) disapprove	d by the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No	o(s)	
10. Other:			
		Reguld D. Bragdon	
	·	Reginald G. Bragdon Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2188	

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendments to the independent claims would require further search and/or consideration.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's remarks are not commensurate with the scope of the claims and do not address the portions of the references actually relied upon by the Examiner.